1 2 3 4 5	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP Holly A. House (SBN 136045) holly.house@bingham.com Brian C. Rocca (SBN 221576) brian.rocca@bingham.com Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: 415.393.2000 Facsimile: 415.393.2286	Joseph joseph 355 Sc Los Ar Teleph	GER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP a D. Lee (SBN 110840) lee@mto.com buth Grand Avenue. 35th Fl. ngeles, CA 90071-1560 none: (213) 683-9100 nile: (213) 687-3702	
6 7 8	Gregory F. Wells (SBN 212419) gregory.wells@bingham.com 2020 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1806 Hojo hojo		UNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP bjoon Hwang (SBN 184950) joon.hwang@mto.com 0 Mission Street, 27th Fl. n Francisco, CA 94105-9781	
9	Facsimile: 202.373.6001		phone: (415) 512-4000	
10	Facsimile: (415) 512-4077 Attorneys for Defendant			
11	IKON Office Solutions, Inc.	N Office Solutions, Inc. Attorneys for Defendant General Electric Capital Corporation		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
15 16 17 18 19	GLOBAL SERVICES, LLC, a Texas Corpora SIMILE IMAGING SOLUTIONS, a North Carolina Corporation; WOOD BUSINESS SYSTEMS, a Florida Corporation; NEW ENGLAND COPY SPECIALISTS, INC., a Massachusetts Corporation; RAY MORGAN COMPANY, a California Corporation; DOCUMATION OF AUSTIN, INC., a Texas Corporation; DOCUMATION OF EAST TEX	XAS,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND PAGE LIMITATIONS FOR MOTION TO DISMISS	
20	INC., a Texas Corporation; DOCUMATION (NORTH TEXAS, INC., a Texas Corporation;			
21	DOCUMATION OF SAN ANTONIO, INC., Texas Corporation; COPYTEX, INC., a	a		
22	Connecticut Corporation; ASI BUSINESS SOLUTIONS, LTD, a Texas Corporation; and	1		
23	METRO SALES INC., a Minnesota Corporati			
24	Plaintiffs, v.			
25	IKON OFFICE SOLUTIONS, INC., an Ohio			
26	Corporation; and GENERAL ELECTRIC CAPITAL CORPORATION, a Delaware			
27	Corporation,			
28	Defendants.			
40				

A/73663083.6/0795588-0000338099

1	WHEREAS Northern District of California Local Rules 6-2 and 7-11, and this Court's					
2	Civil Standing Order allow parties to file a stipulation requesting a Court order altering time					
3	frames or page limits set in the Court's rules, the Local Rules or Federal Rules;					
4	WHEREAS, on March 10, 2011, Defendants IKON Office Solutions, Inc. and General					
5	Electric Capital Corporation (collectively "Defendants") are scheduled to answer or otherwise					
6	respond to the Complaint in the above-captioned matter, Case No. 10-5974 ("Global Services");					
7	WHEREAS, on March 10, 2011, Defendants are scheduled to answer or otherwise					
8	respond to the First Amended Complaint in NewCal Industries, Inc. et al. v. IKON Office					
9	Solutions, Inc., et al., Case No. 04-2776 ("NewCal"), a related matter;					
10	WHEREAS, Defendants have advised Plaintiffs of their intention to file separate motions					
11	to dismiss in both matters;					
12	WHEREAS, the parties have conferred regarding the briefing schedule, page limits and					
13	hearing date related to the contemplated motions;					
14	WHEREAS, Defendants believe the contemplated motions are of a complex nature;					
15	WHEREAS, the parties agree on the need for coordination between the two related					
16	matters; and					
17	WHEREAS, the parties have agreed, subject to the Court's approval, to a schedule and					
18	page limitations related to the motions;					
19	NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate as follows through their counsel of					
20	record, subject to the approval of the Court:					
21	1. All briefing related to the motions shall conform to the following schedule and					
22	page limitations on the parties' memoranda of points and authorities in support of or in					

1.	All briefing related to the motions shall conform to the following schedule and
page limitation	ons on the parties' memoranda of points and authorities in support of or in
opposition to	such motions:

Date	Action	Page Limits	Page Limits
		(Global Services	(NewCal
		Motion to Dismiss)	Motion to Dismiss)
March 10, 2011	Motions	20 £5 pages	15 pages
April 18, 2011	Oppositions	20 ≠ pages	15 pages

A/73663083.6/0795588-0000338099

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No. C 10-5974 JSW

1 2	May 6, 2011	Replies		15 pages	15 pages			
3	May 20, 2011	Hearing						
4	9:00 a.m.							
5	-							
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.							
7	DATED: February 22	2, 2011	HENNEFER & WOOD					
8								
9			By:	/s/				
			By: /s/ James A. Hennefer Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
10	DATED: February 22	2, 2011	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP					
11	2111220 100100029 22, 2011							
12			By:	/s/ Holly A. H	Louge			
13				Attorneys for Defe	endant IKON			
14	DATED: February 22, 2011			MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP				
15								
16			Bv·	/s/				
17			<i>D</i> y	/s/ Joseph D. Attorneys for Defe	Lee			
18	Attorneys for Defendant GECC It is further ORDERED that the case management conference is continued from 4/29/2011 t							
19	6/24/2011 at 1:30p.n		manag	ement comerciace is con	mucu 110m 4/2//2011 to			
20	PURSUANT	TO STIPULATIO	N, IT I	S SO ORDERED.				
21								
22	DATED: February _2	²³ , 2011		Jethry Sh	hits			
23	Tye Honorable Jeffrey S. White United States District Court Judge							
24				V Clinica States Distri	et Court Judge			
25								
26								
27								
28								